H

RECEIVED

JUN 3 0 2008 Jun 30 2008 MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

JURYTRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff(s)
JAMES MARTIN

v.

08CV3712JUDGE MAROVICHMAGISTRATE JUDGE ASHMAN

Defendant(s)

BEUNIE RADEORD IN HIS INDIVIOUS AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY
AS ILLINOIS DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS
PARSE OFCI

N. RODINSON IN HER INDIVIOUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS ILLINOIS PEPT OF CORRECTIONS SUPERVISOR. PAROLE AGENT

ELROY REED IN his INDMINUAL CAPACITY AS CAPACITY AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, THE SOARING ENGINE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GORPI

COM PLAIN I

PLAINTIFF
JAMES MARTIN
524 ELDER LN
GLENVIEW, IL.
60025

DEFENDANT & BENNIE RADFORD IS SENIOR PAROLE AGENT I. D.O.C., RADFORD IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SUPER VISING AND CLOSE MONITORING OF PAROLEES

1. D.O.C. ROBINSON IS SUPERVISOR, SENIOR PARCLE AGENT FIELD PARCLE OFC.

BELROY REED IS CREATOR, DIRECTOR OF SOARING EAGLE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORP., REED RESPONSIBLE FOR MANAGING BUILDING (LANDLORD)

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

DEFENDANTS BENNIE RADFORD AND N. RODINSON NIDLATED PLAINTIFFS FIRST, FORETH, EIGHT AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS

DEFENDANT ELROY REED VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS FOUR-TEENTH AMENDMENT RIGHT.

PLAINTIFF WAS RELEASED FROM TAYLOR WILLE COR-RECTIONAL CENTER ON MAY 3, 2006 AND WAS PLACED ON TWO YEARS MANDATORY SUPERVISED RELEASE, AS SUCH WAS REQUIRED TO ABIDE BY ALL MISIR, CONDITIONS FOR SEX OFFENDERS, THESE CONDITIONS INCLUDED, FINDWA HOME WHO MET LOCATION REQUIREMENTS, ELECTRONIC DETEN TION WERE ALL MOVEMENTS OUTSIDE OF HOME ARE MONITORED AND MUST BE APPROVED BY PAROLE OFFICER.

PLAINTIFF MET DEFENDENT RADFORD ON MAY 4, 2006 TO DISCUSS IN DETAIL M.S.R. CONDITIONS AND LIVING ARRANGMENTS, PLAINTIFF INFORMED DEFENDANT RADFORD THAT HE HAD A LEASE AND DAID 450 MONTHLY RENT, THAT DEFENDANT REED WAS LAND LORD AND DIONOT LIVE AT ADDRESS

PLAINTIFF WAS RESIDING IN WAUKEGAN, ILLINOIS

ON JULY 1, 2006 WhILE PLAINTIFF WAS EUROOTE TO WORK, DEPENDANT RADFORD STOPPED PLAINTIFF AND PLACED HIM IN CUSTODY, PLAINTIFF WAS TRAN PORTED TO PAROLE OFFICE FOR CONFERENCE WITH DEFENDANT ROBINSON, SUPERVISOR ROBINSON INFORMED PLAINTIFF THAT DEFENDANT RADFORD TELEPHONE CALL FROM DEFENDANT REED INFORMING PAROLE OFC. RADFORD THAT HE WANTED PLAINTIFF OUT OF BUILDING THAT DAY SUPERVISOR ROBINSON TELEPHONED ELROY REED WHO RESTURN TO HIS DUILDING!

PLAINTIFF INFORMED SUPERVISOR ROBINSON THAT THIS WAS A LANDLORD -TENANT ISSUE THAT SHOW DE RESOLVED THE PROPER WAY, THAT IT WAS NOT A PAROLE ISSUE, NOT A VIOLATION OF PAROLE CONDITIONS AND THAT DEFENDANT REED DID NOT HAVE OPTION OF WETHER OR WETHER NOT PLAINTIFF COULL RETURN HOME, PLAINTIFF INFORMED SUPERVISOR ROBINSON THAT HE HAD A LEASE, A SAFEGUARD AGAINST CAPRICIOUS AND ARBITRARY ACTION, (DEFENDANT RAD. FORD CONFIRMED PLAINTIFF HAD A LEASE AND PAID RENT.)

A WARRANT WAS ISSUED, PLAINTIFF WAS TRAD'S PORTED TO STATEVILLE CO PRESTIONA CENTER

PLANTIFF WAS NOT ALLOWED TO RETURN HOME AND SECURE PERSONAL PROPERTY, IE, MONEY JEWELRY, ELECTRONICS, CLOTHING, PERSONAL PAPERS. TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS, WITNESS AFFARA-NITS AND OTHER IMPORTANT LEGAL DOCUMENTS.

PLAINTIFF WAS ILLEGALLY HELD IN PRISON FOR APPR. ONE YEAR AND NEVER RECOVERED POSSESSIONS,

NATURE OF COMPLAINT

TO OBTAIN WARRANT DEFENDANT PAROLE OFC, RADFORD PREPARED ILLEGAL, DASELESS PAROLE VIOLATION REPORT ALLEGING PLANATIVE VIOLATED CONDITIONS OF MISIR. BY NOT MAINTAINING HOMESITE AND NOT ATTENDING SEX OFFENDER COUNSELING. IN FACT PLANATIFF HAD HOMESITE AND WAS ILLEGALLY REMOVED BY DEFENDANT RADFORD. ALSO IT WAS DEFENDANT KADFORDS DELISION NOT TO SCHEDULE PLANTIFF FOR DEX OFFENDER COUNSELING UNTIL PLANTIFF DECAME EMPLOYED (PLANTIFF EMPLOYED 6-28-2006) AVE TO THE COST OF COUNSELING.

DEFENDANT SUPERVISOR PAROLE OFCI NI ROBINSON
APPROVED ILLEGAL, BASELESS PAROLE VIOLATION
REPORT, ALSO WOULD NOT ALLOW PLAINTIFF OF
ADRIUNTY TO RETURN HOME AND SECURE PERSONAL

PROPERTY

DEFENDANT ELROY REED CONSPIRED WITH DEFENDANT RADFORD AND ROBINSON TO ILLEGALLY REMOVE PLAIN-HIFF FROM HIS HOME

CAUSE OF ACTION

Plaintiff support the Following CLAIMS by REFER-ENCE TO THE PREVIOUS PARAGRAPHS OF THIS COMPLAINT

COUNT I

BY PREPARING INLEGAL, BASELESS PAROLE VIOLATION REPORT TO OBTAIN A WARRANT, DEFENDANT PADFORD DEPRIVED PLAINTIFF OF his Rights under the probable cause clause of the Fourth Amendment To the United States constitution

COUNT II

by conspiring with DEFENDANT ELROY REED TO ILLEGALLY REMOVE PLAINTIFF FROM his home DEFENDANT RADFORD DEPRIVED PLAINTIFF OF his RIGHTS UNDER THE DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PRO-TECTION CLAUSE OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION,

COUNT III

BY PREPARING ILLEGAL, MASELESS PAROLE VIO-LATION REPORT TO OBTAIN A WARRAUT WHICH PLACED PLAINTIFF IN JAIL FOR APPROXIMATELY ONE YEAR, DEFENDANT RADFORD DEPRIVED PLAIN-TIFF OF HIS RIGHTS UNDER CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENTS CLAUSE OF THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

COUNT IV

BY APPROVING ILLEGAL, BASELESS PAROLE VIOLATION REPORT TO OBTAIN A WARRANT, DEFENDANT N. ROLL INSON REPRIVED PLAINTIFF OF HIS RIGHTS UNDER THE PROBABLE CAUSE CLAUSE OF THE FOURTH A-MENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

COUNT I

BY CONSPIRING WITH DEFENDANT ELROY REED AN B. RADFORD TO ILLEGALLY REMOVE PLAINTIFF FROM his home DEFENDANT ROBINSON DEPRIVE PLAINTIFF OF his Rights UNDER THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE OF THE FOURTHENTH AMENDMENT OF TO UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

COUNT VI

By Approving ILLEGAL, baseless parale vio-LATION REPORT Which placed plaintiff in Jail FOR Approximately one year, Defendant Robin son Deprived Plaintiff of his Rights under CRUEL AND UNUSUAL punish ments clause of The Eighth amendment of the United STATES con STITUTION.

COUNT VIII

BY NOT ALLOWING PLAINTIFF TO RETURN home AND SECURE TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS, WITHERS AF-FIDAVITS AND OTHER IMPORTANT LEGAL DOCUMENT THAT WERE LOST. PLAINTIFF WAS UNABLE TO PROCEED WITH his pursuit of Litigation TO TLLINOIS SUPREME COURT (CASE 98 CR 3106Z) AND DENIED HIS RIGHT OF ACCESS TO THE COURTS

COUNT VIII

BY CONSPIRING WITH DEFENDANTS B. RADFORD AND N. ROBINSON TO ILLEGALLY REMOVE PLAINTIFF FROM his home defendant ELROY PEED DEPRIVED PLAINTIF OF his Rights UNDER DUE PROCESS CLAUSE OF THE FOIL HERMITH AMENDMANT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTED

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF RESPECTFULLY PRAYS THAT This COURT',

DECLARE THAT THE ACTS DESCRIBED HERE IN VIOLATED PLAINTIFFS RIGHTS UNDER THE CON-STITUTION AND LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES

ENTER JUDGE MEUT IN FOUR OF PLAINTIFF FOR LOST WAGES, liquidated/DAMAGES, CHOOSIE! FRONT PAY COMPENSATIONY DAMAGES, DUNTIVE DAMAGES, DREJUDGEMENT INTEREST, POST JUDGEMENT INTEREST AND COSTS, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEYS FEES AND EXPERT WITNESS FEE. AS ALLOWED BY LAW, AGAINST EACH DEFENDANT, JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY GRANT SUCH OTHER RELIEF AS THE COURT MAY FIND APPROPRATE.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
JUNE 30, 2008

JUNES MARTIN
524 ELDER
GHENVIEW JILI
60025